

(12) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(19) World Intellectual Property Organization
International Bureau



(43) International Publication Date
4 December 2003 (04.12.2003)

PCT

(10) International Publication Number
WO 03/100467 A1

(51) International Patent Classification⁷: **G01V 3/12, 3/38**

(21) International Application Number: **PCT/GB03/02164**

(22) International Filing Date: 21 May 2003 (21.05.2003)

(25) Filing Language: English

(26) Publication Language: English

(30) Priority Data:
0212052.5 24 May 2002 (24.05.2002) GB

(71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): **STATOIL ASA** [NO/NO]; N-4035 Stavanger (NO).

(71) Applicant (for IS only): **REES, David, Christopher** [GB/GB]; c/o Kilburn & Strode, 20 Red Lion Street, London WC1R 4PJ (GB).

(72) Inventor; and

(75) Inventor/Applicant (for US only): **AMUNDSEN, Lasse** [NO/NO]; Hoeggveien 54, N-7036 Trondheim (NO).

(74) Agents: **REES, David, Christopher** et al.; Kilburn & Strode, 20 Red Lion Street, London WC1R 4PJ (GB).

(81) Designated States (national): AE, AG, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, BZ, CA, CH, CN, CO, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EC, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, MZ, NI, NO, NZ, OM, PH, PL, PT, RO, RU, SC, SD, SE, SG, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TN, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VC, VN, YU, ZA, ZM, ZW.

(84) Designated States (regional): ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, MZ, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZM, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, BG, CH, CY, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, HU, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, RO, SE, SI, SK, TR), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GQ, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG).

Published:

— with international search report

For two-letter codes and other abbreviations, refer to the "Guidance Notes on Codes and Abbreviations" appearing at the beginning of each regular issue of the PCT Gazette.

WO 03/100467 A1

(54) Title: SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR ELECTROMAGNETIC WAVEFIELD RESOLUTION

(57) Abstract: A method of processing an electromagnetic wavefield response in a seabed logging operation. The wavefield is resolved into upgoing and downgoing components. The downgoing component represents reflections from the sea surface while the upgoing component represents reflections and refractions from subterranean strata. The upgoing component is then subjected to analysis.

System and Method for Electromagnetic
Wavefield Resolution

The present invention is concerned with electromagnetic data acquisition and
5 processing. In particular, the invention relates to a system and method for
electromagnetic wavefield resolution.

Marine electromagnetic exploration is an important tool for locating off-shore
hydrocarbon reserves and monitoring hydrocarbon production for reservoir
10 management. One known procedure for marine electromagnetic involves the use of an
electromagnetic source and receiver cables as described in the present applicants' WO
01/57555. Electromagnetic energy generated by the source propagates both upwards
into the water column and downwards through the earth. The downward propagating
waves are partially reflected and refracted by subsurface layers. The reflected and
15 refracted energy travels upwardly from the subsurface layers and is detected by the
receiver array. In particular, hydrocarbon filled reservoirs are known to give strongly
refracted energy which is of interest for hydrocarbon imaging.

Electromagnetic exploration however is complicated by waves received at the receiver
20 array as downward-travelling reflections and refractions after reflecting and refracting
off the air/water boundary at the surface. The air/water boundary is an efficient
reflector and refractor, and thus the waves travelling downwards are difficult to
differentiate from the upgoing waves from the subsurface. The downward-travelling
energy is caused both by energy propagating directly from the electromagnetic source
25 to the air/water boundary and by energy from the subsurface travelling to the air/water
boundary.

Reflections and refractions from the sea surface thus are a severe problem. If the sea
surface reflections and refractions are not properly attenuated, they may interfere and
30 overlap with primary reflections and refractions from the subsurface.

It is an object of the present invention to provide a method of processing an EM wavefield which minimises this difficulty.

5 According to the invention a method of processing an electromagnetic (EM) wavefield comprises resolving (or decomposing) the wavefield into upgoing and downgoing compounds then analysing the upgoing component. Optimal processing, analysis and interpretation of electromagnetic data ideally require full information about the wavefield so that the wavefield can be resolved into its upgoing and downgoing
10 constituents.

At a position just above or below the seabed the sea surface reflections and refractions are always downgoing wavemodes. The reflections and refractions of interest from the subsurface, however, are upgoing wavemodes. Resolution (or decomposition) of
15 the electromagnetic wavefield into upgoing and downgoing constituents just above or below the seabed puts the sea surface reflections and refractions into the downgoing component whereas the subsurface reflections and refractions are contained in the upgoing component.

20 Thus, it is a further object of the present invention to provide a technique that resolves (or decomposes) the electromagnetic wavefield recorded along one or several receiver arrays into upgoing and downgoing wave components.

Preferably, therefore the wavefield is resolved using the Maxwell Equations:
25

$$\Delta x E(x, t) = \mu(z) \delta_t H(x, t) \quad (1)$$

$$\Delta x H(x, t) = [\sigma(z) + \epsilon(z) \delta_t] E(x, t) \quad (2)$$

for electric and magnetic fields respectively in an isotropic medium, where:
30

$x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denotes a fixed co-ordinate system with a depth axis positively downwards and $x_3 = z$; μ is magnetic permeability, ϵ is magnetic permittivity and σ is electrical conductivity, whereby $\mu = \mu(z)$, $\epsilon = \epsilon(z)$ and $\sigma = \sigma(z)$; E is the electric field, and H is the magnetic field.

5

The technique can be used on electromagnetic data recorded on an areal grid or on data recorded along a profile (line) or on data recorded as single receiver stations. Each recorded component of the electromagnetic wavefield should be properly calibrated before the resolution technique is applied. The calibration ensures that the 10 components of the electromagnetic field satisfy as closely as possible Maxwell's Equations. Preferably, Maxwell's Equations (1) and (2) are transformed using a Fourier transform function with respect to time and horizontal spatial co-ordinates.

It is a further object of the present invention to provide an approximate technique that 15 lends itself to adoption in the case of recorded electromagnetic data from individual receiver stations, (that is, no summation or integration over receiver stations is required).

Preferably, the upgoing component of the EM wavefield is derived using the following 20 formulae:

$$U^{(E1)} = 1/2 (E_1 - 1/CE H_2) \quad (50)$$

$$U^{(E2)} = 1/2 (E_1 - 1/CE H_2) \quad (50)$$

25 Where $U^{(E1)}$ is the upgoing compound of E_1 and E_1 is the electric field in a first horizontal direction; $U^{(E2)}$ is the upgoing component of E_2 and E_2 is the electric field in a second horizontal direction; H_1 and H_2 are the magnetic fields in the first and second directions; C is the speed of wave propagation; and E is the complex permittivity.

30 Thus, by using Maxwell's Equations, a new method is provided for resolving a marine

electromagnetic wavefield into upgoing and downgoing wave constituents. The effects of the air/water surface can be removed or attenuated through the up/down resolution step. The analysis results in expressions where slowness (or wavenumber) dependent filters are multiplied with the electromagnetic data Fourier transformed to a slowness (or wavenumber) domain. After wavefield resolution, the filtered data are inverse Fourier transformed to a space domain for possible further processing, analysis or interpretation. For vertically travelling plane waves the resolution filters are independent of slowness: the filters become simple scalers. Wavefield resolution then can be carried out directly in a space domain. In this case, the up- and downgoing separation is performed for each receiver station in the electromagnetic experiment. Furthermore, these scalers can be used to resolve approximately the electromagnetic wavefield into upgoing and downgoing components even for the non-vertically travelling electromagnetic wavefield.

For up- and downgoing separation just above the seabed, the resolution filters depend on the material parameters of water. For up- and downgoing separation just below the sea floor the resolution filters require knowledge of or an estimate of the complex wave speed and the complex permittivity (or resistivity, the reciprocal of permittivity) of the sea floor material.

The invention also extends to a method of determining the nature of strata beneath the seabed which comprises: applying an electromagnetic (EM) wavefield to the strata; detecting an EM wavefield response; and processing the wavefield as described above; the nature of the strata being derived from the analysis of the upgoing component of the detected wavefield response.

Preferably, the EM field is applied by means of a transmitter located at or near the seabed, and the wavefield response is detected by means of a receiver located at or near the seabed. Preferably the EM wavefield is transmitted at a frequency between 0.01 and 20Hz.

Preferably the transmitter and receiver are dipole antennae through other forms of transmitters and receivers can be used. Preferably, the EM wavefield is applied for a time in the range 3 seconds to 60 minutes.

5

The magnetic measurement necessary may be taken using known magnetotelluric instruments. Alternatively, integrated measuring instruments can be used which record both magnetic and electric fields.

10

While the description in this specification mentions the sea and sea bed, it is to be understood that these terms are intended to include inland marine systems such as lakes, river deltas etc.

15

The invention may be carried into practice in various ways and one approach to the resolution of the wavefield will now be described in detail, by way of example, in order to illustrate the derivation of formulae the upgoing wavefield component.

20

First Maxwell's Equations will be reviewed. Then it will be shown how the electromagnetic wavefield can be resolved (or decomposed) into upgoing and downgoing waves.

A list of the most frequently used symbols is given in Appendix A.

MAXWELL'S EQUATIONS

We first show how Maxwell's equations can be transformed to the frequency-horizontal wavenumber domain. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3)$ denote a fixed coordinate system with the depth axis positive downwards. For notational convenience, we will also use $x_3 = z$. On the sea floor, assume the material parameters magnetic permeability μ and permittivity ϵ as well as the electrical conductivity σ do not vary laterally so that

$$\mu = \mu(z) ; \quad \epsilon = \epsilon(z) ; \quad \sigma = \sigma(z)$$

Maxwell's equations for the electric and magnetic fields, in conjunction with the constitutive relations, for an isotropic medium are given as

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) = -\mu(z) \partial_t \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (1)$$

$$\nabla \times \mathbf{H}(\mathbf{x}, t) = [\sigma(z) + \epsilon(z) \partial_t] \mathbf{E}(\mathbf{x}, t) \quad (2)$$

where \mathbf{E} is electric field, and \mathbf{H} is the magnetic field. Introduce the Fourier transform with respect to time and *horizontal* spatial coordinates

$$G(k_1, k_2, \omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy dt \exp[-i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 - \omega t)] g(x_1, x_2, t) \quad (3)$$

with inverse

$$g(x_1, x_2, t) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dk_1 dk_2 d\omega \exp[i(k_1 x_1 + k_2 x_2 - \omega t)] G(k_1, k_2, \omega) \quad (4)$$

The Fourier transform of equations (1) and (2) gives

$$\partial_3 E_1 = -i\omega \left[-\frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon} H_1 + \left(\mu - \frac{p_1^2}{\epsilon} \right) (-H_2) \right] \quad (5)$$

$$\partial_3 E_2 = -i\omega \left[\left(\mu - \frac{p_2^2}{\epsilon} \right) H_1 - \frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon} (-H_2) \right] \quad (6)$$

$$-\partial_3 H_2 = -i\omega \left[\left(\epsilon - \frac{p_2^2}{\mu} \right) E_1 + \frac{p_1 p_2}{\mu} E_2 \right] \quad (7)$$

$$\partial_3 H_1 = -i\omega \left[\frac{p_1 p_2}{\mu} E_1 + \left(\epsilon - \frac{p_1^2}{\mu} \right) E_2 \right] \quad (8)$$

where $E_1 = E_1(k_1, k_2, z, \omega)$ is the transformed electric field, etc. In equations (5) to (8) we have introduced the complex permittivity

$$\epsilon = \varepsilon \left(1 + \frac{i\sigma}{\omega\varepsilon} \right) \quad (9)$$

and

$$p_i = k_i/\omega \quad ; \quad i = 1, 2 \quad (10)$$

Matrix vector differential equation

Equations (5) to (8) can be written as an ordinary matrix-vector differential equation

$$\partial_3 \mathbf{b} = -i\omega \mathbf{A} \mathbf{b}, \quad (11)$$

where the wave vector \mathbf{b} is a 4x1 column vector

$$\mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} E_1 \\ E_2 \\ -H_2 \\ H_1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (12)$$

and the system matrix \mathbf{A} is a 4x4 matrix partitioned into four 2x2 submatrices of which the diagonal ones are zero,

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{A}_1 \\ \mathbf{A}_2 & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} \quad (13)$$

The submatrices \mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 are symmetric

$$\mathbf{A}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} \mu - \frac{p_1^2}{\epsilon} & -\frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon} \\ -\frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon} & \mu - \frac{p_2^2}{\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \quad ; \quad \mathbf{A}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} \epsilon - \frac{p_2^2}{\mu} & \frac{p_1 p_2}{\mu} \\ \frac{p_1 p_2}{\mu} & \epsilon - \frac{p_1^2}{\mu} \end{bmatrix} \quad (14)$$

\mathbf{A}_1 and \mathbf{A}_2 are functions of the parameters in Maxwell's equations (and therefore, functions of z) and of p_i .

DECOMPOSITION INTO UP- AND DOWNGOING WAVES

For the decomposition of the electromagnetic field into up- and downgoing waves it is necessary to find the eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the system matrix \mathbf{A} for given wavenumbers and frequencies. The wave vector \mathbf{b} can be decomposed into up- and downgoing waves

$$\mathbf{w} = [\mathbf{U}^T, \mathbf{D}^T]^T, \quad (15)$$

where $\mathbf{U}^T = [U_1, U_2]$ and $\mathbf{D}^T = [D_1, D_2]$, by the linear transformation

$$\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{L}\mathbf{w}, \quad (16)$$

where \mathbf{L} is the local eigenvector matrix of \mathbf{A} (i.e., each column of \mathbf{L} is an eigenvector). Since \mathbf{L} is the eigenvector matrix of \mathbf{A} it follows that

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{L}\Lambda\mathbf{L}^{-1},$$

where Λ is the diagonal matrix of the corresponding eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} :

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}[-\lambda_1, -\lambda_2, \lambda_1, \lambda_2] \quad (17)$$

Eigenvalues of \mathbf{A}

The eigenvalues of \mathbf{A} are

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \equiv q = (c^{-2} - p^2)^{1/2} \quad (18)$$

where

$$c^{-2} = \epsilon\mu \quad (19)$$

$$p^2 = p_1^2 + p_2^2 \quad (20)$$

Eigenvector matrix of A

The eigenvector matrix of A can be given as

$$L = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} & \frac{q_1^2}{\epsilon q} & -\frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} & -\frac{q_1^2}{\epsilon q} \\ -\frac{q_2^2}{\epsilon q} & -\frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} & \frac{q_2^2}{\epsilon q} & \frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (21)$$

with inverse

$$L^{-1} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} & \frac{c^2 q_1^2 \epsilon}{q} & 0 & 1 \\ -\frac{c^2 q_2^2 \epsilon}{q} & \frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} & -1 & 0 \\ \frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} & -\frac{c^2 q_1^2 \epsilon}{q} & 0 & 1 \\ \frac{c^2 q_2^2 \epsilon}{q} & -\frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (22)$$

Upgoing and downgoing waves

From equation (16) upgoing and downgoing waves are given by

$$w = L^{-1} b \quad (23)$$

that is

$$U_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} E_1 + \frac{c^2 q_1^2 \epsilon}{q} E_2 + H_1 \right] \quad (24)$$

$$U_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[-\frac{c^2 q_2^2 \epsilon}{q} E_1 + \frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} E_2 + H_2 \right] \quad (25)$$

$$D_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} E_1 - \frac{c^2 q_1^2 \epsilon}{q} E_2 + H_1 \right] \quad (26)$$

$$D_2 = \frac{1}{2} \left[\frac{c^2 q_2^2 \epsilon}{q} E_1 - \frac{c^2 p_1 p_2 \epsilon}{q} E_2 + H_2 \right] \quad (27)$$

As is shown below, U_1 , D_1 , U_2 , and D_2 have been defined such that

$$U_1 + D_1 = H_1 \quad ; \quad U_2 + D_2 = H_2 \quad (28)$$

This implies that U_1 and D_1 are the upgoing and downgoing constituents of H_1 , respectively, whereas U_2 and D_2 are the upgoing and downgoing constituents of H_2 ,

respectively. The scaling of upgoing and downgoing waves is however not unique. We will show below that the upgoing and downgoing waves defined in equation (27) can be scaled such their sum yields upgoing and downgoing constituents of the fields E_1 and E_2 . The upgoing constituents of H_1 , H_2 , E_1 and E_2 will not contain the downgoing reflections and refractions caused by the sea surface. After decomposing the measured electromagnetic field into upgoing and downgoing wave fields the sea surface reflections and refractions will belong to the downgoing part of the fields. The upgoing and downgoing wavefields are inverse Fourier transformed to space domain using equation (4).

UPGOING AND DOWNGOING CONSTITUENTS OF H_1 AND H_2

Equation (28) is easily verified by summation of U_1 and D_1 , and U_2 and D_2 as given in equation (27). Therefore, the wave fields U_1 and D_1 are interpreted as upgoing and downgoing constituents of the magnetic field component H_1 , whereas the wave fields U_2 and D_2 are interpreted as upgoing and downgoing constituents of the magnetic field component H_2 . We introduce the notation

$$U^{(H_1)} = U_1 \quad ; \quad D^{(H_1)} = D_1 = H_1 - U^{(H_1)} \quad (29)$$

$$U^{(H_2)} = U_2 \quad ; \quad D^{(H_2)} = D_2 = H_2 - U^{(H_2)} \quad (30)$$

so that

$$H_1 = U^{(H_1)} + D^{(H_1)} \quad ; \quad H_2 = U^{(H_2)} + D^{(H_2)} \quad (31)$$

In particular, the upgoing constituents (see equations (24) and (25)) are of interest

$$U^{(H_1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[H_1 - \frac{c^2 \epsilon}{q} (p_1 p_2 E_1 - q_1^2 E_2) \right] \quad (32)$$

$$U^{(H_2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[H_2 + \frac{c^2 \epsilon}{q} (p_1 p_2 E_2 - q_2^2 E_1) \right] \quad (33)$$

Equations (32) and (33) are the most general formulas for electromagnetic wavefield decomposition of the magnetic field components into upgoing waves. The schemes

require the receiver stations to be distributed over an areal on the sea bed so that the electromagnetic wavefield can be transformed to the slowness domain. The decomposition schemes (32) and (33) are valid for a 3D inhomogeneous earth.

Special case: $p_2 = 0$

When the electromagnetic experiment is run along a single profile electromagnetic data are available along a line only. The magnetic field components H_1 and H_2 then can be properly decomposed into its upgoing and downgoing waves under the 2.5D earth assumption (no variations in the medium parameters of the earth in the cross-profile direction). Without loss of generality, orient the coordinate system so that the electromagnetic wavefield propagates in the x_1, x_3 -plane such that $p_2 = 0$. Then, $q_2 = c^{-1}$, $q = q_1$, inserted into equation (32) gives

$$U^{(H_1)} = \frac{1}{2} (H_1 + c^2 q_1 \epsilon E_2) \quad (34)$$

Equation (34) shows that to remove the downgoing reflected and refracted energy from the H_1 magnetic field it is necessary to combine the H_1 recording with a scaled (filtered) E_2 electric field recording. Similarly, the upgoing component of the H_2 field is

$$U^{(H_2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(H_2 - \frac{\epsilon}{q_1} E_1 \right) \quad (35)$$

Equations (34) and (35) are strictly valid under the 2.5D earth assumption. However, for single profile data over a 3D earth equations (34) and (35) still can be used as approximate methods to attenuate the downgoing energy on the magnetic H_1 and H_2 components.

Special case: $p_1 = p_2 = 0$

The special case of vertically traveling electromagnetic plane waves with $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ such that $q_1 = q_2 = q = c^{-1}$ yields by substitution into equations (32) and (33)

$$U^{(H_1)} = \frac{1}{2} (H_1 + c\epsilon E_2) \quad (36)$$

$$U^{(H_2)} = \frac{1}{2} (H_2 - c\epsilon E_1) \quad (37)$$

Even though equations (36) and (37) are strictly valid only for vertically traveling plane waves as a decomposition method for the magnetic components, they can be a useful approximation for wavefield decomposition also for non-vertically traveling plane waves as well as for the full magnetic H_1 and H_2 fields. Note that since the scaling factor applied to the electric components does not depend on slowness, equations (36) and (37) can be implemented in space domain. In this special case, H_1 or H_2 magnetic data recorded on each receiver station are processed independently.

UPGOING AND DOWNGOING CONSTITUENTS OF E_1 AND E_2

By properly scaling the upgoing and downgoing waves U_1 , U_2 , D_1 and D_2 we can find the upgoing and downgoing constituents of the fields E_1 and E_2 . The scaling must chosen to give

$$E_1 = U^{(E_1)} + D^{(E_1)} \quad (38)$$

$$E_2 = U^{(E_2)} + D^{(E_2)} \quad (39)$$

with

$$U^{(E_1)} = U_1^{(E_1)} + U_2^{(E_1)} \quad (40)$$

$$D^{(E_1)} = D_1^{(E_1)} + D_2^{(E_1)} \quad (41)$$

$$U^{(E_2)} = U_1^{(E_2)} + U_2^{(E_2)} \quad (42)$$

$$D^{(E_2)} = D_1^{(E_2)} + D_2^{(E_2)} \quad (43)$$

Introducing

$$U_1^{(E_1)} = \frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} U_1 \quad ; \quad U_2^{(E_1)} = -\frac{q_1^2}{\epsilon q} U_2 \quad ; \quad D_1^{(E_1)} = -\frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} D_1 \quad ; \quad D_2^{(E_1)} = \frac{q_1^2}{\epsilon q} D_2 \quad (44)$$

we find that equation (38) is fulfilled, and that

$$U^{(E_1)} = U_1^{(E_1)} + U_2^{(E_1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[E_1 + \frac{1}{\epsilon q} (p_1 p_2 H_1 - q_1^2 H_2) \right] \quad (45)$$

Introducing

$$U_1^{(E_2)} = \frac{q_2^2}{\epsilon q} U_1 \quad ; \quad U_2^{(E_2)} = -\frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} U_2 \quad ; \quad D_1^{(E_2)} = -\frac{q_2^2}{\epsilon q} D_1 \quad ; \quad D_2^{(E_2)} = \frac{p_1 p_2}{\epsilon q} D_2 \quad (46)$$

we find that equation (39) is fulfilled, and that

$$U^{(E_2)} = U_1^{(E_2)} + U_2^{(E_2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left[E_2 - \frac{1}{\epsilon q} (p_1 p_2 H_2 - q_2^2 H_1) \right] \quad (47)$$

Equations (45) and (47) are the most general formulas for electromagnetic wavefield decomposition of the electric field components into upgoing waves. The schemes require the receiver stations to be distributed over an areal on the sea bed so that the electromagnetic wavefield can be transformed to the slowness domain. The decomposition schemes (45) and (47) are valid for a 3D inhomogeneous earth.

Special case: $p_2 = 0$

When the electromagnetic experiment is run along a single profile electromagnetic data are available along a line only. The electric field components E_1 and E_2 then can be properly decomposed into its upgoing and downgoing waves under the 2.5D earth assumption (no variations in the medium parameters of the earth in the cross-profile direction). Without loss of generality, orient the coordinate system so that the electromagnetic wavefield propagates in the x_1, x_3 -plane such that $p_2 = 0$. Then, $q_2 = c^{-1}$, $q = q_1$, inserted into equation (45) gives

$$U^{(E_1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(E_1 - \frac{q_1}{\epsilon} H_2 \right) \quad (48)$$

Equation (48) shows that to remove the downgoing reflected and refracted energy from the E_1 electric field it is necessary to combine the E_1 recording with a scaled (filtered) H_2 magnetic field. Similarly, the upgoing component of the E_2 field is

$$U^{(E_2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(E_2 + \frac{1}{c^2 \epsilon q_1} H_1 \right) \quad (49)$$

Equations (48) and (49) are strictly valid under the 2.5D earth assumption. However, for single profile data over a 3D earth equations (48) and (49) still can be used as an approximate method to attenuate the downgoing energy on the electric E_1 and E_2 components.

Special case: $p_1 = p_2 = 0$

The special case of vertically traveling electromagnetic plane waves with $p_1 = p_2 = 0$ such that $q_1 = q_2 = q = c^{-1}$ yields by substitution into equations (45) and (47)

$$U^{(E_1)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(E_1 - \frac{1}{c\epsilon} H_2 \right) \quad (50)$$

$$U^{(E_2)} = \frac{1}{2} \left(E_2 + \frac{1}{c\epsilon} H_1 \right) \quad (51)$$

Even though equations (50) and (51) are strictly valid only for vertically traveling plane waves as a decomposition method for the electric components, it can be a useful approximation for wavefield decomposition also for non-vertically traveling plane waves as well as for the full electric E_1 and E_2 fields. Note that since the scaling factor applied to the magnetic components does not depend on slowness, equation (50) can be implemented in space domain. In this special case, E_1 or E_2 electric data recorded on each receiver station are processed independently.

Appendix A

A:	system matrix
b:	wave vector containing electromagnetic fields
w:	wave vector containing upgoing and downgoing waves
L:	eigenvector matrix of A
B:	magnetic flux density
H:	magnetic field; $\mathbf{H} = (H_1, H_2, H_3)$
D:	electric displacement field
E:	electric field; $\mathbf{E} = (E_1, E_2, E_3)$
J:	current density
x = (x ₁ , x ₂ , x ₃):	Cartesian coordinate
U ^{(E₁):}	upgoing component of E ₁ ; $E_1 = U^{(E_1)} + D^{(E_1)}$
D ^{(E₁):}	downgoing component of E ₁
U ^{(E₂):}	upgoing component of E ₂ ; $E_2 = U^{(E_2)} + D^{(E_2)}$
D ^{(E₂):}	downgoing component of E ₂
U ^{(H₁):}	upgoing component of H ₁ ; $H_1 = U^{(H_1)} + D^{(H_1)}$
D ^{(H₁):}	downgoing component of H ₁
U ^{(H₂):}	upgoing component of H ₂ ; $H_1 = U^{(H_2)} + D^{(H_2)}$
D ^{(H₂):}	downgoing component of H ₂
c :	Speed of wave propagation; $c = (\mu\epsilon)^{-1/2}$
k :	Wavenumber; $k = \omega/c$
k ₁ :	Horizontal wavenumber conjugate to x ₁
k ₂ :	Horizontal wavenumber conjugate to x ₂
p ₁ :	Horizontal slowness $p_1 = k_1/\omega$
p ₂ :	Horizontal slowness $p_2 = k_2/\omega$
p :	$p^2 = p_1^2 + p_2^2$
q :	Vertical slowness; $q = \sqrt{c^{-2} - p_1^2 - p_2^2}$
q ₁ :	$q_1^2 = c^{-2} - p_1^2$
q ₂ :	$q_2^2 = c^{-2} - p_2^2$
z :	$z = x_3$

ρ_v : volume electric charge density

ρ : resistivity; the reciprocal of resistivity is conductivity

ϵ : permittivity

ϵ : complex permittivity, $\epsilon = \epsilon_0 \left(1 + \frac{i\sigma}{\omega\epsilon_0}\right)$

μ : magnetic permeability

σ : electrical conductivity; the reciprocal of conductivity is resistivity

λ : eigenvector

ω : circular frequency

∂_t : temporal derivative; $\partial_t = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$

∂_1 : spatial derivative; $\partial_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}$

∂_2 : spatial derivative; $\partial_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}$

∂_3 : spatial derivative; $\partial_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}$

Claims

1. A method of determining the nature of strata beneath the seabed which comprises applying an electromagnetic (EM) wavefield to the strata and detecting an EM wavefield response; characterised by the step of processing the wavefield by resolving the wavefield to produce upgoing and downgoing components then analysing the upgoing component, thereby deriving the nature of the strata.
2. A method as claimed in Claim 1, characterised in that the EM field is applied by means of a transmitter located at or near the seabed.
3. A method as claimed in Claim 1 or Claim 2, characterised in that the EM wavefield is transmitted at a frequency between 0.01 and 20Hz.
4. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, characterised in that the EM wavefield is transmitted at a wavelength between 1S and 50S, where S is the thickness of the overburden above the considered strata.
5. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, characterised in that the transmitter is a dipole antenna.
6. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, characterised in that the wavefield response is detected by means of a receiver located at or near the seabed.
7. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, characterised in that the receiver is a dipole antenna.
8. A method as claimed in any of Claims 1 to 6, characterised in that the receiver comprises a detector pair consisting of means for detecting the electromagnetic wavefield and means for detecting a magnetic field.

9. A method as claimed in Claim 8, characterised in that the detector pair is housed in a single unit.
- 5 10. A method as claimed in Claim 8 or Claim 9, characterised in that data from one of the detector pairs is used to resolve the field detected by the other of the detector pairs.
- 10 11. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, characterised in that the wavefield is detected using a plurality of receivers arranged over an area of the seabed.
12. A method as claimed in Claim 11, characterised in that the receivers are arranged in a line.
- 15 13. A method as claimed in Claim 11 or Claim 12, characterised in that data from an array of receivers is used to resolve the wavefield.
14. A method as claimed in Claim 11 or Claim 12, characterised in that data from each receiver independently is used to resolve the wavefield.
- 20 15. A method as claimed in any of Claims 6 to 14, characterised in that the or each receiver is moved to a different location while the transmitter is maintained stationary, and a further EM wavefield is applied, detected and processed.
- 25 16. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, characterised in that the wavefield is resolved using the 2.5D assumption that there are no variations in the medium parameters in the cross-profile direction.
17. A method as claimed in any preceding Claim, characterised in that the resolution and analysis are carried out on the assumption of vertically travelling plane waves.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/GB 03/02164

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 G01V3/12 G01V3/38

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHEDMinimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)
 IPC 7 G01V

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category [°]	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	URSIN BJÖRN: "Review of elastic and electromagnetic wave propagation in horizontally layered media" GEOPHYSICS , vol. 48, no. 8, 1 August 1983 (1983-08-01), pages 1063-1081, XP002251630 page 1064, column 1, paragraphs 1-3 page 1065, column 1 -page 1075, column 2; figures 1-3 ---	1-17
X	US 4 168 484 A (WRIGHT JR HUBERT A) 18 September 1979 (1979-09-18) column 1, line 49 - line 62 column 3, line 25 - line 38 column 4, line 26 - line 43 column 6, line 12 - line 39 claim 1; figure 1 ---	1-17
	-/-	

 Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C. Patent family members are listed in annex.

° Special categories of cited documents :

- °A° document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- °E° earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- °L° document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- °O° document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- °P° document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

°T° later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

°X° document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

°Y° document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

°&° document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search	Date of mailing of the International search report
19 August 2003	01/09/2003
Name and mailing address of the ISA European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk Tel. (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl. Fax: (+31-70) 340-3016	Authorized officer Schneiderbauer, K

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

PCT/GB 03/02164

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X	US 6 101 448 A (AMUNDSEN LASSE ET AL) 8 August 2000 (2000-08-08) column 1, line 20 - line 49 column 3, line 27 - line 34 column 4, line 3 - line 12 column 5, line 7 - line 30 ----	1-17
A	WO 94 20864 A (JOHLER J RALPH) 15 September 1994 (1994-09-15) page 19, line 27 -page 23, line 8 ----	1-17
A	OSEN A. ET AL.: "Removal of water-layer multiples from multicomponent sea-bottom data" GEOPHYSICS, vol. 64, no. 3, 1 May 1999 (1999-05-01) - 30 June 1999 (1999-06-30), pages 838-851, XP002251631 the whole document -----	1-17

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

PCT/GB 03/02164

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date		Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 4168484	A	18-09-1979	CA DE FR GB JP NL US	1017049 A1 2352318 A1 2209112 A1 1447873 A 49074974 A 7314248 A 4094304 A	06-09-1977 02-05-1974 28-06-1974 02-09-1976 19-07-1974 18-04-1974 13-06-1978
US 6101448	A	08-08-2000	FR GB NO	2773618 A1 2333364 A ,B 990153 A	16-07-1999 21-07-1999 16-07-1999
WO 9420864	A	15-09-1994	US AU WO	5280284 A 6253694 A 9420864 A1	18-01-1994 26-09-1994 15-09-1994